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Introduction

- Persistent contamination of the soil due to the fallout of the Chernobyl accident in Europe
- 29 years after the accident, this contamination is still detectable, mainly in forest areas, even outside Ukraine, Belarus and Russia
- No strategies to decontaminate the forest areas
- Consequences in terms of human exposure rather limited for these areas
- Main contaminated areas observed in Europe after the Chernobyl accident
- Consequences for wood and its use for heating
- Contamination observed in mushrooms, berries, plants and wild animals
- Potential consequences associated with fires occurring in forests
- Strategies for managing the situation
- Developing the radiation protection culture among the inhabitants
Main contaminated areas in Europe after the Chernobyl accident
Chernobyl $^{137}$Cs deposition (1986)
Contamination in Belarus in Cs-137 (1986)
Contamination in East part of France in Cs-137 (May 1986)

(Source: IRSN)
Forests are highly diverse ecosystems

Due to high filtering characteristics of trees, deposition was often higher in forests than in agricultural areas

Sensitive issue for:

- Occupational exposure (workers in forests were estimated to have received a dose up to 3 times higher than people living in the same area)
- Recreational activities (external dose rate: up to 30 μSv/h in 1989)
- Wood, berries, mushroom and wild animals
Limited decontamination of forests (2)

- Most effective strategies adopted:
  - Restriction of access
  - Prevention of forest fires
- Decontamination mainly limited to the “Red Forest” located in the South and West part of the exclusion zone
  - Pine forest in which the trees received up to 100 Gy
  - 375 ha were concerned with the removal of the top 10-15 cm of soil and the cut down of dead trees
  - Waste placed in trenches and covered with a layer of sand
  - A volume of 100,000 m³ was buried
  - Reduction of the soil contamination by at least a factor of 10
- Re-forestation and sowing of grasses also undertaken to prevent the spread of existing soil contamination
Map of Cs-137 contamination of forests in Braguin District - Belarus (2002)
Consequences for wood and its use for heating
Consequences for wood and its use for heating (1)

Cs 137 CONTAMINATION OF ASHES IN OLMANY VILLAGE IN BELARUS IN 1998

Standard: 963 Bq/kg
Consequences for wood and its use for heating (2)

Ashes production

Assumptions:
- 2 buckets of ash per stove per week
- 4 kg weight per bucket
- 2 stoves per house
- 500 households in Olmany
- 7 months generation

Contamination assessment

- Measurements of Cs-137 contamination of ashes
  - Range from 15,000 to 80,000 Bq/kg
  - Assumed value: average of 50,000 Bq/kg

Ashes final use

Assumption:
- All output from a household is put onto a 600 m2 surface garden

Total production of ashes in the village estimated to 224 t/yr, resulting in 11,200 MBq/yr from the whole village

Final estimation
- 37.3 kBq/m2/yr added in each garden
- = 26% of the initial deposition of 187.5 kBq/m2 (decay corrected)
Contamination observed in mushrooms, berries, plants and wild animals
Contamination observed in mushrooms, berries, plants and wild animals:

*Data from Belarus*
### Foodstuff measurements in Belarus from 2004 to 2006 (Bq/L- Bq/kg)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LCRC in Krasnoe LCRC in Dublin</th>
<th>Mean 04-05-06</th>
<th>Maximum 04-05-06</th>
<th>Limit BY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cow milk</strong></td>
<td>33-24-23</td>
<td>178-206-100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>na-34-66</td>
<td>na-57-378</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fodder</strong></td>
<td>na-392-58</td>
<td>na-1850-97</td>
<td>1300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>na-644-1492</td>
<td>na-988-5990</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mushrooms (dry)</strong></td>
<td>2660-995-na</td>
<td>8215-1166-98000</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>na-15232-13805</td>
<td>na-45000-37000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Potatoes</strong></td>
<td>27-5-4</td>
<td>972-32-27</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>na-&lt;18-&lt;18</td>
<td>na-&lt;18-&lt;18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

na = not available
# WBC - Collective Results 2004-2007 in Belarus

(19,000 Measurements in 4 Years – Bragin district)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Mean (Bq/kg)</th>
<th>Maximum (Bq/kg)</th>
<th>No &gt; 50 Bq/kg</th>
<th>No &gt; 100 Bq/kg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S 04</td>
<td>2056</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2056</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 04</td>
<td>2592</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2658</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 05</td>
<td>2526</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 05</td>
<td>2612</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 06</td>
<td>2530</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 06</td>
<td>2486</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>982</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 07</td>
<td>2438</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 07</td>
<td>1705</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S=SPRING ; F=FALL
Levels of milk contamination according to the herds of private farmers – Olmany – Belarus – 1996-1998

Milk contamination
(Bq/L)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Herds</th>
<th>Contamination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Range of levels of contamination of the key foodstuffs consumed in Olmany – Belarus – 1996-1998

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Foodstuffs</th>
<th>Minimal</th>
<th>Maximal</th>
<th>Concentration limit (1996)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sensitive</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mushrooms (Fresh)</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>16000</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berries</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh milk</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pork</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veal</td>
<td></td>
<td>around 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Slightly sensitive</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beetroot</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potatoes</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabbage</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home-baked bread</td>
<td></td>
<td>around 50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Uncontaminated</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shop-bought food and food from uncontaminated areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A few Bq/kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Contamination observed in mushrooms, berries, plants and wild animals:

Data from other European countries
$^{137}$C concentration in mushrooms in France from 1986 to 2004 (Bq.kg$^{-1}$ fresh)

Data from OPRI, IPSN and IRSN
$^{137}$Cs concentration in mushrooms for different regions in France from 1995 to 2014 (Bq.kg$^{-1}$ fresh)

Data from IRSN
Evolution of average contamination in $^{137}$Cs for cereals, beef, cow's milk and mushrooms in East part of France from 1986 à 2006 (Bq/kg fresh)
Large seasonal fluctuations.

Measured activity in the Vosges boar meat in 1996: up to 2,000 Bq / kg

During summer of 2014 in Saxony, 297 of 750 samples exceeded 600 Bq / kg fresh
Potential consequences associated with the fire occurring in forests
Potential consequences associated with the fire occurring in forests (1)

- Chernobyl disaster released 85 petabecquerels of radioactive caesium
- There is up to eight petabecquerels (PBq) in soil in the exclusion zone
- Intense fires in 2002, 2008, and 2010 resulted in the displacement of $^{137}$Cs to the south
- Following three forest fires in Ukraine scientists measured radiation levels
- The fires caused 0.5 PBq to released over eastern Europe as smoke
- Cumulative amount of $^{137}$Cs re-deposited over Europe was equivalent to 8% of that deposited following the initial Chernobyl disaster.
$^{137}$Cs emissions in the contaminated forests of Belarus and Ukraine after fire events in 2002, 2008, and 2010

Simulation of three different fire scenarios (2010 scenario 6, 2010 scenario 7, and 2010 scenario 8)
Deposition of $^{137}\text{Cs}$ (wet and dry) after fires in 2002, 2008, and 2010 in Ukraine and Belarus

Simulation of three Scenarios (2010 scenario 6, 2010 scenario 7, and 2010 scenario 8)
Potential consequences associated with the fire occurring in forests (2)

- In Kiev, people have received around 10 µSv due to these fires.
- Recent fire in February 2015 has reinforced the concern.
- The remaining contamination in forests could be remobilized along with a large number of other dangerous, long-lived, refractory radionuclides.
- Prediction that an expanding flammable area associated with climate change will lead to a high risk of radioactive contamination with characteristic fire peaks in the future.
- Current fire-fighting infrastructure in the region is considered inadequate due to understaffing and lack of funding.
Strategies for managing the situation
Identified strategies based on Chernobyl feedback experience

- **Restrictions and advices:**
  - Restrictions on gathering wild foods
  - Advice on the use of wood ash as a kitchen garden fertiliser
  - Selective harvesting of trees

- **“Active countermeasures”**
  - Forest soil treatment with potassium fertilisers and/or lime
  - Prevention of fire in forests
  - Pruning/defoliation of fruit trees and vines
Management of contamination of forests in Vosges region (France -1996) (1)

- In Vosges region (East part of France), great concern in 1996 due to:
  - Positive result of WBC for a young adult
  - Measurement of wild boar meat up to 2,000 Bq/kg

- Decision to organise a large measurement campaign of gamma radiation of forest areas (35 km²) by helicopter
  - Average value: 5,500 Bq/m² (± 2,000 Bq/m²)
  - Maximum value: 24,000 Bq/m²

- Estimation of internal exposure associated with higher values of wild food contamination:
  - Wild boar (200 g/week with 2,000 Bq/kg): 0.3 mSv/y
  - Mushrooms (100 g/week with 1,250 Bq/kg): 0.08 mSv/y
Management of contamination of forests in Vosges region (France -1996) (2)

- Decision to increase the monitoring in the region:
  - Measurement of 120 samples of food products in 1997
  - Enquiry through the national network of wild animal mortality
  - WBC survey for local population
Handbook describing the strategies (1)

- Development of strategies for preparedness in European research project EURANOS, based on Chernobyl feedback experience
- Preparation a datasheet template to facilitate comparison between countermeasure options
- The template includes:
  - Short description of the countermeasure,
  - Key attributes
  - Constraints
  - Effectiveness
  - Feasibility
  - Waste generated
  - Doses incurred
  - Costs
  - Side effects
  - Practical experience
Forest soil treatment with potassium fertilisers and/or lime

- Distribution of mineral fertilisers (NPK, PK), wood ash, lime to forest floor by ground level machine, hand distribution or by helicopter;
- Application rate has to be in reasonable relation to the nutrient status of forest
- Nitrogen and/or phosphorus are needed, together with potassium to improve tree growth and to counteract possible imbalances.
- Repeated applications may be necessary
Prevention of fire in forests

- 40-70% of the Cs stored in vegetation could be released in the atmosphere during a fire

Proposed countermeasures:

- Closing forests and semi-natural areas to the public and banning any practices likely to cause fires

- Actions to **prevent initiation and spread of fire** in most sensitive areas (e.g. railways, roads, electric lines, rubbish dumps):
  - Install/ maintain concrete barriers, safety fences or netting.
  - Widening of the road hard shoulders.
  - Improving inspection, surveillance networks.
  - Fuel management/clearing of dry vegetation from shrubland

- Increase **readiness for fire fighting** in affected areas
Pruning/defoliation of fruit trees and vines

- If deposition occurs when trees are in leaf, foliar interception, retention and absorption of radionuclides are the dominant processes of contamination for fruit bearing plants.

- Following uptake by leaves, radionuclides can be translocated to fruits and other parts of the plant.

- **Countermeasures to be implemented**: Pruning and/or defoliation by chemical, mechanical or manual methods soon after deposition to prevent or reduce the translocation of radionuclides from leaves to the other plant components.
Developing the radiation protection culture among the inhabitants
Co-expertise and radiation protection culture (1)

- The process of co-expertise relies on:
  - Establishment of places for dialogue allowing experts to listen and discuss together with affected people their questions, concerns, challenges, but also expectations
  - Assessment conducted jointly by locals and experts on the situation of the people and their community
  - Implementation of projects to address the problems identified at the individual and community levels with the support of local professionals, experts and authorities
  - Evaluation and dissemination of results
Co-expertise and radiation protection culture (2)

- Co-expertise leads to promote the practical radiological protection culture within the affected communities, defined as:

  *The knowledge and skills enabling citizens to make choices and behave wisely in situations involving potential or actual exposure to ionizing radiation*

- This progressively allows everyone to:
  - Interpret results of measurements: ambient levels, external and internal doses, contamination of products
  - Build her/his own benchmarks against radioactivity in day-to-day life
  - Make her/his own decisions and protect her/himself and loved ones = self-help protection
  - Access to measurements by the people with suitable devices is critical
Approach based on the direct involvement of the inhabitants in their own protection with the help of the local and national authorities and experts

- The development of the radiation protection culture among the population based on 4 pillars:
  - A radiation monitoring of proximity
  - A practical education at school
  - An inclusive health surveillance
  - A cultural approach of the memory of the accident

- The setting up of social and economic measures to favour the local development
1. **Listening and learning** from the villagers about their concerns, difficulties and wishes

2. Developing a **common evaluation** of the local radiological situation

3. **Implementing protective actions** for improving the local situation

4. **Establishing (or re-establishing) links** between villagers and the local authorities and professionals
Listening about concerns - Public Meetings
Access to local expertise
Empowerment of villagers
Local farmers meeting
Radiological scale for external exposure adopted by a village
‘Milk mapping’ for summer production
Optimization of winter milk production of a “private” farmer
(Selection of hay and use of Prussian blue)
The ethical principles underpinning the empowerment approach in post-accident situation

Following our experience in post-accident situation:

- **Some pitfalls have to be avoided** regarding people empowerment:
  - Trivialising the radiological risk
  - Abandoning people facing the risk alone
  - Manipulating people to make them staying in contaminated area
  - Trying to protecting people without (against ?) them

- **Ethical principles have to be adopted**: 
  - Refusal to take decision for the people about their future (respect of their autonomy and freedom)
  - Commitment to be at the service of improving the protection and living conditions for the population (well-being)
  - Adopting a prudent attitude toward the radiological risk
Decontamination of forests rather limited after the Chernobyl accident

Still a concern more than 29 years after the accident for the consumption of products from the forests

Organisation of vigilance for avoiding fires in forest areas

Development of monitoring and radiation protection culture for managing the risk associated with contaminated forests
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