

Progress on Off-site Cleanup Efforts in Japan

Masaru MORIYA

Former Head of Fukushima Decontamination Team , Fukushima Office for Environmental Restoration, Ministry of the Environment

Radioactive Pollution Caused by the Accident at TEPCO's Fukushima Dai-ichi NPS



Progress on Off-site Decontamination of Radioactive Materials

Framework of Decontamination

New Legislation for Promoting Decontamination

- The Act on Special Measures Concerning the Handling of Radioactive Pollution came into force on January 1, 2012.
- Based on this Act, the followings are carried out:
 - Planning and implementation of decontamination work
 - Collection, transfer, temporary storage, and final disposal

Special Decontamination Area

11 municipalities in (former) restricted zone or deliberate evacuation zone (<20km from the NPS, or annual cumulative dose is >20mSv)
 Decontamination is implemented by the national government

(*) Entire areas of Naraha, Tomioka, Okuma, Futaba, Namie, Katsurao, and litate. Some areas of Tamura, Minami Soma, Kawamata, and Kawachi.

Intensive Contamination Survey Area



Special Decontamination Area and Intensive Contamination Survey Area



Guidelines to Help Understand Regulations under the Act

- Waste-related guidelines: storage, maintenance and management standards and disposal standards
- Decontamination-related guidelines: methods for the investigation and measurement of the status of pollution, decontamination and other measures, collection, transfer and storage of the removed soil
- Guidelines for decontamination workers:
 exposure dose management methods,
 preventive measures against internal exposure,
 safety and health management systems



Progress in Special Decontamination Area

Decontamination Policy for Special Decontamination Area

Policy in FY2012 and 2013

Decontamination should be implemented taking into account the level of air dose rate.

- Areas less than 20mSv/year: Aiming for reducing additional exposure dose less than 1mSv/year as long-term goal.
- Areas with 20 50mSv/year: Aiming for reducing exposure dose in residential and farmland area less than 20mSv/year by the end of FY 2013.
- Areas more than 50mSv/year: Demonstration projects will be implemented. Lessons learned will be reflected into future decontamination policy.

Policy in FY 2014 & Beyond

- Aiming for reducing additional exposure dose less than 1mSv/year as a longterm goal
- Check and evaluate two-year decontamination results, consider proper actions, and revise implementation plans as needed.

Progress of Work in the Special Decontamination Area

		Preliminary	Full Scale Decontamination Work(surface decontamination)				
Progress		Decontamination	Decontamination	Temporary	Decontamination Work		
Status		(base, etc.)	Plan	Storage Site	2012	2013	
0	Tamura city	✓	🗸 (Apr. 13)	✔ (secured)	\$	_	
on full -scale decontamination work/on plan	Naraha town	✓	🗸 (Apr. 13)	✔ (secured)	>	✓	
	Kawauchi village	✓	🗸 (Apr. 13)	✔ (secured)	✓	✓	
	litate village	\checkmark	🗸 (May. 24)	✓ (partially secured)	√	under local coordination process	
	Kawamata town	✓	🗸 (Aug. 10)	✓ (partially secured)	✓ Preparation work completed	1	
	Katsurao village	√	✔ (Sep. 28)	✓ (partially secured)	✓ Preparation work completed	1	
	Okuma town	✓	✔ (Dec. 28)	under local coordination process		on public announcement	
Already planned/ prepared to order	Minami-Soma city	√	🗸 (Apr. 18)	under local coordination process			
	Namie town	√	🗸 (Nov. 21)	under local coordination process			
Plans not yet formulated	Tomioka town	✓	under local coordination process	under local coordination process			
	Futaba town						

*Decontamination work in a municipality is to be implemented based on the premises of formulation of the decontamination implementation plan, consent of house and land owners and securing of temporary storage sites. 9

Progress of Decontamination in Special Decontamination Area (1)



10

Progress of Decontamination in <u>Special Decontamination Area (2) (as of Mar. 31, 2013)</u>



OProgress of decontamination work implemented in FY2012 is shown below:

ODecontamination work in Tamura city has almost completed.

	Tamura city	Naraha town	Kawauchi village	litate village
Residensial area	99%	38%	100%	1%
Farm land	100%	34%	-※ ※Plan for implementation in FY2013	0%
Forest	85%	56%	34%	4%
Road	99%	0%※ ※Plan for implementation in the final process	53%	0%※ ※Plan for implementation in the final process
	Already implemented in FY2012	Plan for implementation in FY2012 and 2013	Plan for implementation in FY2012 and 2013	Plan for implementation in FY2012 and 2013

Note: As for Implementation rate, denominator is a dimension of decontamination target area of relevant municipalities, numerator is calculated by a dimension of completed area of sequential decontamination work (weeding, sediment removal, and washing, etc.) •Figures of decontamination target area and completed decontamination area might be refined and changed in the future. •In FY 2012, full decontamination has not started in other seven municipalities.

Restricted Areas and Areas to Which Evacuation Orders Have Been Issued (as of Mar. 26, 2013)



Decontamination Work in Tamura City





Removal of branches and fallen leaves in a Shrine





Weeding and removal of leaves in a grave site

Decontamination Work in Kawauchi Village



Forests (within 20m from living areas): removal of fallen leaves and topsoil



ground : Removal of deposited materials



House garden: removal of topsoil



House wall: wiping

Effectiveness of Decontamination Methods

Information was collected and sorted on decontamination effectiveness of early decontamination project(mainly in 2012), e.g. model project, and preliminary decontamination work implemented in Fukushima by the national government and relevant municipalities. The results shows that surface concentration of contamination(cpm) were reduced by **50-70%** by washing, **30-70%** by high-pressure washing, and **70-90%** by scraping on surface of asphalt-paved roads. As for playground, **80-90%** reduction was achieved by top soil removal.

X As the objective of this analysis is to collect information on effectiveness of each decontamination work, the data is expressed as reduction rates of surface concentration of contamination on each decontamination method.

X This analysis is tentative, as the scope of the analysis is the decontamination work carried out at early stages, where decontamination methods were not established yet and recent improvements were not reflected.



Example 1: Decontamination work on asphalt-paved roads Example 2: Decontamination Work on Playground

Reference: Announcement on "Effectiveness of decontamination work which is implemented by the national government and relevant municipalities in decontamination project" (Jan. 18, 2013)

Decontamination Model Work in the Joban Expressway

Objective

To test and evaluate various decontamination methods taking into account different road paving conditions and air dose rates.

Outline

Period: March -July, 2012

Results:

In Zone I (most highly contaminated zone), it is confirmed that air dose rate could be reduced to less than 9.5 μ Sv/h, or equiv. to 50 mSv/y. In zone II and III, it is confirmed that air dose rate

could be reduced to approximate 3.8μ Sv/h , or equiv. to 20 mSv/y.



Decontami- nation Zone	Air dose rate	Situation before the accident	Road shape	Air dose rate at the center of expressway (μSv/h)			
				Before	\rightarrow	After	Decreasing rate
	More than 9.5µSv/h (equivalent to more	Under	Cutting interval	43.1	\rightarrow	8.3	▲81%
Zone I (equiv than			Landfill interval	11.6	\rightarrow	4.2	▲64%
	than 50mSv/y)		Bridge interval	10.3	\rightarrow	5.9	▲ 43%
Zone II	3 8~9 5uSv/h	construction	Cutting interval	5.8	\rightarrow	2.3	▲60%
(Annually equivalent		Landfill interval	5.4	\rightarrow	2.5	▲54%	
Zone III	to 20~50mSv)	Opened	Cutting interval	5.1	\rightarrow	4.1	20%

Progress of Decontamination Work in Joban Expressway

Decontamination work is in progress to be completed by the end of June, 2013.



Future Schedule

- OOn the premise of temporary storage being secured, decontamination work will be started within this year which will be planned to <u>complete until the end</u> of June, 2013.
- OParallel to decontamination work, reconstruction and maintenance project will be started based on premise that adjustment with related agencies will be set aiming for in-service time as follows:
 - Between Hirono IC~Joban Tomioka IC(17km): within FY2013
 Between Namie IC ~Minami Soma IC(18km): within FY2014
 Between Joban Tomioka~Namie(14km): Plan for opening to

traffic will be at certain period not greatly delayed from other combined sections, aiming for opening in 2014 Securing Appropriate Decontamination Work

Securing Proper Decontamination Work

Press reports of Inproper Decontamination work (Asahi-Shinbun on Jan. 4, 2013, etc.)

* Highly regrettable to receive these reports* Fact investigation and strict measures based on the result

Establishing Taskforce for Securing Appropriate Decontamination Work in the Ministry (Instructed by Minister Ishihara)

Head : Senior Vice-Minister Inoue Deputy Head: Parliamentary Secretary Akino, etc.

Investigation for fact confirmation

- •Instruction to the Contractors for investigation by Senior Vice-Minister Inoue (Jan. 8)
- Site visit by Senior Vice-Minister Inoue and Parliamentary Secretary Akino (Jan. 9)
- Hearing from the Contractors and instruction to submit reports by the Fukushima Office for Environmental Restoration (Jan.7)
- On-site investigation for specified sites
 Interviewing workers/ reporters who could be reached

Launch of Appropriate Decontamination Promotion Program on Jan. 18, 2013

<Investigation Result & Response to Individual Cases> 28 cases reported >> Summarized into 19 cases as some of the 28 cases considered identical

 2 cases: Ministry instructed the contractors, who acknowledged the reported facts, to take corrective actions

- ✓ Naraha Town : Treatment of wastewater from high-pressure cleaning for a house balcony was inappropriate
 ✓ litate Village : Treatment of wastewater from high-pressure cleaning for a post office was inappropriate
- I case: Ministry found the case in its site inspection and instructed the Contractor to take appropriate actions
 - \checkmark Tamura City : Edged plants and trees were left by the river side after the work

other 2cases: Ministry gave the contractor a guidance to take appropriate actions
 Ministry also conducted an investigation of its response to the reported cases (questionnaire survey for staff in charge, checking the number of calls to the decontamination call center, etc)

The Key Elements of the Appropriate Decontamination Promotion Program



Promotion of reliability/ Acceleration of decontamination work

Progress in Intensive Contamination Survey Areas

Decontamination Progress in Intensive Contamination Survey Area (1)

101 municipalities designated as Intensive Contamination Survey Area shall implement monitoring survey and formulate a decontamination implementation plan which stipulates areas, methods and contractors for decontamination work.



OAs of the end of March 2013, decontamination implementation plans have been formulated in 94 municipalities.

OAs decontamination is to covers large areas including public facilities, residential houses, roads, farmland and forest, municipalities shall prioritize the object, in consideration with the protection of public health as a top priority at decontamination work.

⇒Decontamination work is implemented based on a decontamination implementation plan stipulated by each municipality.

Decontamination Progress in Intensive Contamination Survey Area (2)

94 out of 101 municipalities finalized their decontamination implementation plans under the Act (as of Apr. 1, 2013)

Example of Fukushima City

- Planning term : 5 years until Sep. 2016 (2 years as an intensive term)
- Priority: Houses in high air dose areas, public facilities, especially for children



: Decontamination completed

23

Decontamination Progress in Intensive Contamination Survey Area (3)

Decontamination is in progress in accordance with each municipality's decontamination implementation plan. Especially in places where children are likely to be exposed and in public facilities, it seems to be getting close to the end. However, decontamination work in other places needs to be implemented for another few years.

In Fukushima pref. (As of the end of Jan.,2013)	Ordering Ratio	Implementation Ratio	
Public facility, etc.	More than 90%	approx. 75%	
Residence	approx. 80%	approx. 60%	
Road	approx. 75%	approx. 60%	
Farmland & meadow	approx. 80%	approx. 60%	
Forest(living area)	Nearly 20%	Less than 10%	
Outside Fukushima pref. (As of the end of Dec., 2012)	Ordering Ratio	Implementation Ratio	
School, nursery school	Almost ordered	More than 80%	
Park, sports facility	approx. 80%	approx. 60%	
Residence	approx. 40%	approx. 20%	
Public facility, etc.	approx. 70%	approx. 70%	
Road	approx. 60%	approx. 60%	
Farmland & meadow	approx. 70%	approx. 30%	
Forest(living area)	Partially ordered	Partially implemented	

Note: The ratio calculated against the numbers of target buildings/ land areas where the decontamination work was planned in FY2012.

The number in each plan is still in adjustment and uncertain, and still likely to be increased in the future.

24

*Please refer to the Information Site on Decontamination for individual municipality status. http://josen.env.go.jp/zone/index.html

Dissemination of Information

regarding Decontamination Progress on the Website



Information Site on Decontamination URL: http://josen.env.go.jp/en/

Efforts to secure Interim Storage Facility

Efforts to secure Interim Storage Facility

Oct., 2011 Ministry of the Environment officially announced and explained the **Basic Principles for Interim Storage Facility (the roadmap)** to the heads of relevant municipalities

Main Contents

- The National Government shall secure, maintain and manage the facility
- The National Government shall make utmost efforts <u>to start the operation of</u> <u>facility within about 3 years(by January, 2015)</u>
- <u>Target materials for storage is limited to soil and waste generated in Fukushima</u> <u>prefecture</u>
- Dec., 2011 The Ministry requested 8 towns in Futaba County and Fukushima Pref. to examine location sites in Futaba county
- Mar., 2012 The Ministry explained the 8 towns and Fukushima Pref. that the facilities may be located separately in 3 towns (Futaba, Okuma and Naraha)
- Aug., 2012 The Ministry proposed the investigation for ISF to 8 towns and Fukushima Pref.
- Nov., 2012 <u>The Governor of the Fukushima Pref. announced the acceptance of the</u> <u>investigation proposed by the Ministry</u> at the consultation meeting with the mayors of Futaba County's towns and villages
- Mar., 2013 The Ministry selected contractors, who will implement the investigations
- Apr., 2013 On-site exploratory investigation has started in Naraha

Outlook for Selection of Proposed Site for Interim Storage Facility

Sufficient area for expected waste inventories
 Easy access from the area of mass generation of soils and wastes.
 Easy access to major arterial roads
 Avoid active fault and soft ground
 Minimize of change in geological formation such as surface water diversion



Following three sites which will fulfill the conditions above, are proposed as candidate sites of facility to Fukushima prefecture and 8 municipalities of Futaba county, as an outlook of the government.

North side of Fukushima Dai-ichi NPS of Futaba town
 South side of Fukushima Dai-ichi NPS of Okuma town
 South side of Fukushima Dai-ni NPS of Naraha town

Interim Storage Facility: Bird's-Eye View





Scale of the whole facility (estimation) Total storage volume ranges between 15-28 million \vec{m} , which is 12-23 times big as a baseball stadium(approx. 1.24million \vec{m}) ①Storage Facility

②Emplacement & Segregation Facility

③Volume Reduction Facility

④24hour monitoring Equipment(placed in several points, not specifically indicated)

5R & D Facility

⑥Public information Center

Storage Facility Image in ISF

Several types of Storage Facilities may be installed according to the characteristics of stored soil and waste.

- Level of contamination
- ·Leachate traits under various environmental scenario.

Example of facilities for radioactive waste which can generate leachate

Example of facilities for radioactive waste which does not generate leachate



Outlook for Selecting Potential Survey Sites for ISF



Potential survey sites were selected in 3towns(Futaba, Okuma and Naraha), in consideration with existing data and following conditions:

OEffective utilization of existing geological formation, e.g. plateaus and hills
 OUtilization of existing facility
 OUtilization of sites contributed to disaster prevention

Potential survey sites

Existing controlled landfill site

*The marked areas on the map indicate only rough outline of potential survey sites envisaged at this moment.

Future Plan

Transportation Plan

Municipalities in Fukushima pref. are categorized into 3 areas according to

ISF locations (FUTABA, OKUMA and NARAHA)

Major Challenges in Decontamination Work

- <u>Speeding-up</u> of decontamination work for living environment
 - Human resources
 - Temporary storage space
 - Interim Storage Facility (ISF)
- Decontamination and rehabilitation of agricultural land
- Decontamination of <u>forest</u> beyond the areas adjacent to houses

Major Challenges in Decontamination Work

- Decontamination and monitoring <u>technology</u> development and their application
- <u>Communication</u> of information and knowledge on radiation risk
- Research on the behavior and environmental <u>fate of cesium</u>, including the development of transport models
- <u>Collaboration of decontamination work and</u> <u>infrastructure recovery</u>